

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 9191 MH1.211 Richard G. Sass 10/043,972 01/11/2002 EXAMINER 06/22/2004 23893 7590 JASTRZAB, JEFFREY R TIMOTHY E SIEGEL 1868 KNAPPS ALLEY PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** SUITE 206 WEST LINN, OR 97068 3762

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/043,972	SASS, RICHARD G.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey R. Jastrzab	3762
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 April 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
TI) The ball of declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached office Action of form 1 10-102.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/043,972

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Erp in view of McMickle et al. Van Erp discloses the invention substantially as claimed including more than 6 insulated leads wrapped in parallel helices, apparently of the claimed pitch, however the pitch is not per se disclosed. McMickle et al. teach that changing the pitch to alter flexibility is notorious in the art. As such, merely altering the pitch of the Van Erp leads would have amounted to an obvious design choice in order to effect a desired flexibility.

Applicant argues with respect to the combination of references, that in the prior art it is generally necessary to wind the wires with a fairly tight pitch, to lend greater flexibility and wear resistance to a cable that must withstand the flexure caused by the constant beating of the heart and that all of the prior art of cardiac implant cables, including McMickle, teach away from the present invention's a loose pitch.

This argument is not found to be persuasive. First, a combination of references can be appropriate even though not combined for the same reason as Applicant's, as is the case here. Given the structure currently claimed and given the teachings of both Van Erp and McMickle, one skilled in the art would have been motivated to alter the pitch of Van Erp in order to modify the lead's flexibility. Even a minute adjustment in this regard would render the claimed structure as the Van Erp lead is clearly already close to the claimed 45 degree pitch called for in Claim 1. Furthermore, to extrapolate

Application/Control Number: 10/043,972

Art Unit: 3762

this principle to and even beyond 30 degrees as in Claim 2 would certainly be reasonable since extreme bending radii are often required for leads used in the human vasculature. As such, this rejection remains FINAL.

Conclusion

This is an RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/043,972. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Jastrzab whose telephone number is (703)

Art Unit: 3762

308-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 5:30a.m. to 2:00p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey R. Jastrzab Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762